ADDENDUM NO. 2

February 5, 2019

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

FOR

FALKIRK SITE & SEISMIC IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS PROJECT NO. 956390



The following changes, additions, or deletions shall be made to the following documents as indicated for this Project; and all other terms and conditions shall remain the same. Each bidder is responsible for transmitting this information to all affected subcontractors and suppliers before the Bid Deadline.

1. <u>Request for Qualifications – Questions & Answers</u>

2-1	Question: Fee – There is no specific request for a fee proposal. Furthermore, there is insufficient data to provide an informed fee. Confirm this is a qualifications submittal only and that fee will be negotiated or proposed in a separate step. Not part of this submittal in any case.
	Answer: This is a Request for Qualifications only, please do not submit any assumed fees or hourly rate schedules for your firm's work.
2-2	Question: Reference drawings - Are reference drawings or original architectural, structural, MEP drawings available for all buildings? If not all, some?
	A. File format - scans or CAD?
	Answer: The scanned reference drawings will be shared with the selected consultant group performing the work for this project.
2-3	Question: Schedule – We recognize this was addressed in the in Addendum 1 and the urgency to meet the school schedule is understood. However:
	A. Can interim milestone dates be adjusted?
	B. It would seem risky to commit to the proposed schedule without understanding the extent of engineering and construction work required to meet the April 15 th deadline. May we commit to a good faith effort to meet milestones, but not be at fault if the dates are simply impossible based on needs developed in the investigation phase?
	i. If not this, other than rigidly enforcing compliance with these dates, is there another option
	ii. Is there a way to do assessment this year while facility is vacated during the summer, then design and have everything ready for the following Winter Break and Summer Break?
	Answer:
	A: Yes, providing they reach the intended project milestones.
	B: Due to the limited timeframe to perform the design, bidding, and construction of the project, we expect that submitting firms are capable of meeting the intended milestones.
	i. No. The schedule can adjust; however, the project schedule is accelerated.
	ii. Beyond buildings 14, 15 & 16 that may be a possible strategy. The RFQ intends to complete this project in a multi-phased, multi-year approach.



2-4	Question: Capital Project Schedule – How much of projects #956390 and #956389 has been completed, and what is now being put into this new combined RFQ?
	Answer: These scopes of work were halted due to the increase of scope to incorporate ADA components.
2-5	Question: Capital Project Schedule - The original Fire Alarm Design project #956389 was noted as postponed until Summer 2019 in the October 2018 schedule. Is that still the case?
	Answer: The fire alarm is a part of this project, and will adhere to the proposed schedule within the RFQ. Falkirk Site & Seismic Improvements RFQ package submittal, & completed during the same timeframe of the seismic, and ADA phase 1 project.
2-6	Question: Capital Project Schedule - According to the Schedule, #956390 Falkirk Apartments Seismic began back in January of 2018, with design completion in Oct 2018. What happened to that?
	Answer: The original intent of the project scope grew to include an all-inclusive project that provides for ADA, Seismic and Fire/life safety as one project.
2-7	Question: Do the attachments count towards the maximum number of 30 pages?
	Answer: Yes, the documentation that is presented in the RFQ is included in the 30-pages, as the content aligns with the scope of experience, beyond the similar project qualifications submitted in RFQ.

END OF ADDENDUM